Alan Alexander Beck
Law Office of Alan Beck
2692 Harcourt Drive
San Diego, CA 92123
(619) 905-9105
Hawaii Bar No. 9145
Alan.alexander.beck@gmail.com

Stephen D. Stamboulieh Stamboulieh Law, PLLC P.O. Box 4008 Madison, MS 39130 (601) 852-3440 stephen@sdslaw.us MS Bar No. 102784 *Admitted Pro Hac Vice

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
ANDREW TETER & JAMES GRELL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

ANDREW TETER and JAMES) Civil No. 1:19-cv-00183 ACK-WRP
GRELL)
)
Plaintiffs,) PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO DEFEN-
) DANTS' SEPARATE CONCISE
v.) STATEMENT OF FACTS;
CLARE E. CONNORS, in her) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
Official Capacity as the Attorney)
General of the State of Hawaii and AL	<u>.)</u>
CUMMINGS in his Official Capacity)
as the State Sheriff Division)
Administrator	TRIAL: June 16, 2020 - 9:00 AM
) JUDGE: Hon. Alan C. Kay
Defendants.) HEARING: April 28, 2020 - 11 AM
)

PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' SEPARATE CONCISE STATEMENT OF FACTS

In accordance with Rule 56.1 of the Local Rules for the United States District Court for the District of Hawaii, Plaintiffs Andrew Teter and James Grell submit their Response to Defendants' Concise Statement Facts:

FACT	EVIDENTIARY SUPPORT
1. Plaintiffs filed their complaint on April 10, 2019.	
	ADMITTED
2. Butterfly knives are banned in Hawaii pursuant to state law.	See ECF No. 1, paragraph 52.
See H.R.S. § 134-53.	ADMITTED
3. Plaintiffs challenge the constitutional validity and	See ECF 1.
enforcement of H.R.S. § 134-53.	ADMITTED
4. Defendants deny that Plaintiffs' rights under the Second	See ECF No. 17, paragraph 17.
Amendment or 42 U.S.C. § 1983 are being violated, deny that	ADMITTED only to the extent Defendants deny what they claim to deny.
Plaintiffs are entitled to preliminary and injunctive relief,	OBJECTION to inclusion in Concise Statement of Fact as these are questions of
and deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to a declaratory ruling	law and violate LR 56.1(b) as they do not "assert only the material facts that are
by the court.	necessary for the court to determine the issues presented in the motion."
5. Defendants deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to declaratory relief,	See ECF No. 17, paragraph 18.
or that there is a substantial	ADMITTED only to the extent Defendants
likelihood that Plaintiffs will	deny what they claim to deny.
suffer irreparable injury in the	OBJECTION to inclusion in Concise Statement of Fact as these contain questions
Surrer irreparable injury in the	Statement of Fact as these contain questions

future, and deny all remaining	of law and violate LR 56.1(b) as they do not
allegations contained therein.	"assert only the material facts that are
	necessary for the court to determine the
	issues presented in the motion."
	FURTHER OBJECTION that the
	statement "deny all remaining allegations
	contained therein" does not allow the
	Plaintiffs to meaningfully reply to the

Concise Statement of Fact.

AND NOW, pursuant to LR 56.1(e), Plaintiffs incorporate by reference their previously filed Separate Concise Statement of Facts in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment, filed on January 14, 2020 [Docket 34, PageID# 185-188].

Dated: Madison, Mississippi, March 30, 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Alan Beck Alan Alexander Beck

/s/ Stephen D. Stamboulieh Stephen D. Stamboulieh Stamboulieh Law, PLLC *Admitted Pro Hac Vice